



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
|-----------------|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|

10/611,737

07/01/2003

David R. Robins

**BA-0342

2570

23377 7590 08/11/2008
WOODCOCK WASHBURN LLP
CIRA CENTRE, 12TH FLOOR
2929 ARCH STREET
PHILADELPHIA, PA 19104-2891

EXAMINER

NGUYEN, ALLEN H

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2625

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

08/11/2008

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| | | | |
|--------------------------|--------------------------------------|---|--|
| Interview Summary | Application No. 10/611,737 | Applicant(s) ROBINS, DAVID R. | |
| | Examiner ALLEN H. NGUYEN | Art Unit 2625 | |

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) ALLEN H. NGUYEN.

(3) William Smith (Reg. No.58,346).

(2) King Poon.

(4) Carmen V. Lyles-Irving (Reg. No. 59,078).

Date of Interview: 04 August 2008.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: Claim 36.

Identification of prior art discussed: Barry et al. (US 5,859,711).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Discussed claim 36 and explained why the examiner feels that Barry teaches print engine activation means. Explain why claims 1-2, 4-5,6-8, 10 are drawn to an invention nonelected in the reply filed on 3/4/2008. Advised applicant that SPE King Poon feels that the withdraw of claims 1-2, 4-5, 6-8, 10 appears to be appropriate, after reviewing the office action and the election made by the applicant. Advised applicant has the option of filing a petition.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Allen H Nguyen/
Examiner, Art Unit 2625

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required